



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/694,454	10/27/2003	Gerald H. Engelman	202-1141 (FGT 1851 PA)	2521	
28549 7:	590 09/08/2005		EXAMINER		
KEVIN G. MIERZWA			STONE, JENNIFER A		
ARTZ & ARTZ, P.C. 28333 TELEGRAPH ROAD, SUITE 250 SOUTHFIELD, MI 48034			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2636		

DATE MAILED: 09/08/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application	Application No. Applicant(s)					
		10/694,454	Į	ENGELMAN ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Jennifer A.		2636				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	on appears on the	cover sheet with the	correspondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR FOR HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING INTERPRETARING THE MAILING SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by the period by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THI CFR 1.136(a). In no ever tion. period will apply and will y statute, cause the applic	S COMMUNICATIOnt, however, may a reply be to expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDON	DN. imely filed m the mailing date of this of ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	n 16 August 2005.		•				
•	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the applic	cation.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>9-20</u> is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-6</u> is/are rejected.							
7)🛛	Claim(s) 2.7 and 8 is/are objected to.							
8) 🗌	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election re	quirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers							
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.						
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on 27 October 2003	is/are: a)⊠ acce	pted or b)☐ objecte	ed to by the Exami	ner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be	e held in abeyance. S	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
* (application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachmer	t(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
2) Notic	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9		Paper No(s)/Mail	Date	TO-152)			
. —	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO er No(s)/Mail Date	/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/694,454 Page 2

Art Unit: 2636

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. <u>Claims 1, 5, and 6</u> are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sugimoto et al. (US 6,292,753).

For claim 1, Sugimoto discloses a method of performing threat assessment within a vehicle comprising: detecting at least one object (col 2, lns 1-7); determining kinematics of the vehicle (col 4, lns 57-63); determining kinematics of said at least one object (col 4, lns 57-63); determining a brake threat number in response to said vehicle kinematics and said kinematics of said at least one object (col 6, lns 38-41); and determining a threat of said at least one object in response to said brake threat number (col 6, lns 41-48).

For claim 5, Sugimoto discloses determining kinematics of said vehicle and determining kinematics of said at least one object comprise determining yaw rate of the vehicle (col 5, Ins 32-35; Fig. 2, S1).

For claim 6, the method of determining brake threat number comprises: determining a deceleration at zero range value; and determining a maximum vehicle deceleration value (col 8, Ins 25-35 and 46-49).

Application/Control Number: 10/694,454 Page 3

Art Unit: 2636

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. <u>Claim 3</u> is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sugimoto et al. (US 6,292,753), and further in view of Igaki et al. (US 2002/0101337).

Sugimoto does not determine a path of a vehicle or an object; however,

Stopczynski discloses these features (col 8, Ins 1-11). It would have been obvious to
one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made to determine path
prediction to accurately predict the chances of a potential collision.

5. <u>Claim 4</u> is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sugimoto et al. (US 6,292,753), and further in view of Igaki et al. (US 2002/0101337).

Sugimoto discloses determining kinematics of said vehicle and determining kinematics of said at least one object comprising determining relative position and velocity of at least one object relative to the vehicle (col 4, lns 57-63). However, Sugimoto does not disclose determining acceleration of at least one object relative to the vehicle. Igaki, on the other hand, does disclose determining acceleration of at least one object relative to the vehicle (parag 0013). It would have been obvious to determine acceleration of an object to accurately determine an instantaneous distance between a vehicle and an object.

Application/Control Number: 10/694,454 Page 4

Art Unit: 2636

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 9-20 are allowed.

7. <u>Claims 2, 7, and 8</u> are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Remarks

8. Applicant's arguments filed August 16, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The Applicant argues as follows:

Sugimoto does not disclose that a brake threat number is determined in response to vehicle kinematics and kinematics of an object. Furthermore, the brake threshold value is not determined in response to vehicle kinematics and object kinematics, but rather is a predetermined or stored risk value.

Sugimoto does disclose that a brake threat number or braking threshold value is determined in response to vehicle kinematics and kinematics of an object as illustrated in Figure 2, sensors S1-S5. The automatic braking means (M2) is a component that determines the brake threshold value (Figure 2, item U). M2 is not necessarily a constant, stored value. Rather, the value of M2 partially quantifies the braking threshold value and changes depending on the output of the sensors S1-S5 or the vehicle/object kinematics (col 7, lns 16-24; col 8, lns 44-49).

Conclusion

9. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jennifer A Stone whose telephone number is (571) 272.2976. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 8:00am to 4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey Hofsass, can be reached at (571) 272.2981. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

Application/Control Number: 10/694,454

Art Unit: 2636

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jennifer Stone August 31, 2005

> JEFFERY HOFSASS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Page 6